Message

From: Sanchez, Yolanda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=473C34AE73994A4A8ACAFE6F03E0BAEB-SANCHEZ, YOLANDA]

Sent: 12/22/2020 5:33:37 PM

To: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: draft letter

Attachments: draft EPA response to Navy 12112020 BPRG letter_ys.doc

Also, below is my suggested cover to share this letter with congressional offices. Please share with EM and we can get this letter out this week and send the email as soon as the letter is posted to the website (likely Monday, assuming Art or Elaine is in today).

########Draft##############

Subject: U.S. EPA - Hunters Point Naval Shipyard - Updates on the radiological retesting of onsite buildings

Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi Office of Senator Dianne Feinstein Office of Senator Kamala Harris

Dear Colleagues:

We have committed to keep you updated on EPA's oversight of the Navy's radiological retesting at the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard site. This email is an update from our August 21, 2020 email (below).

Attached is a letter to the Navy from the Superfund and Emergency Management Director about the Navy's evaluation of the radiological remediation goals (or cleanup levels) for current, onsite buildings. EPA is dedicated to move this conversation forward, working with the Navy to resolve the concerns we identified in our August 20, 2020 letter.

Regards, Sonam

{Please add on top of EPA's August 21, 2020 email}

From: Sanchez, Yolanda

Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2020 9:12 AMTo: Chesnutt, John < Chesnutt. John@epa.gov>Cc: Praskins, Wayne < Praskins. Wayne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: draft letter

Thanks for turning this around with Wayne. I have added some minor editorial edits. As far as the timeline... Enrique specifically asked for a time pressure, by adding a "please respond by" in a nuanced way. I understand you discussed with Wayne and chose not to provide a date. Perhaps, we can tie this statement to the Navy's new desired timeframe of January 2021 (see comments) which pushes a little harder than "as soon as possible."

I really like the way this sentence evolved: We ask the Navy to respond to those concerns, particularly user-defined parameters (e.g., ingestion rates, use of the indirect/secondary rather than the direct ingestion option) of RRB that may underestimate cancer risks associated with the future residential use of these onsite buildings.

I'm a little busy this morning, but free after 11:30 am.

Yolanda Sanchez

U.S. EPA || Community Involvement for Superfund

Desk: 415-972-3880